

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/807,660	03/24/2004	Jin Hong	8021-223 (SS-19132-US)	4315	
	22150 75	590 09/20/2006		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
F. CHAU & ASSOCIATI			S	MOORE, KARLA A		
	WOODBURY,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1763		
				DATE MAILED: 09/20/2006	DATE MAILED: 09/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/807,660	HONG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Karla Moore	1763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 June 2006</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.	☑ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.	i)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 24 March 2004 is/are:	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 March 2004</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	a.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/807,660 Page 2

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,816,098 to Davis et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,909,994 to Blum et al.
- Davis et al. disclose a remote plasma enhanced cleaning apparatus substantially as claimed and comprising: a main process chamber (Figure 5A, 104); a load lock chamber (12) connected to the main process chamber, wherein the main process chamber comprises a staging device (105) adjacent to the loadlock chamber for loading the silicon wafers from the load lock chamber into the process chamber and for unloading the silicon wafers from the main process chamber into the loadlock chamber; and a carrier robot (106) disposed in a center portion of the main process chamber, wherein the carrier robot rotates and moves around a center of the main process chamber and transfers silicon wafers to an adsorption assembly, an anneal assembly, and a cooling assembly, and wherein the assemblies are disposed in the main process chamber around the carrier robot and spaced apart from one another. Davis et al. disclose that a plurality of process assemblies (modules) is provided in the main chamber (column 7, rows 17-26).

Application/Control Number: 10/807,660

Art Unit: 1763

The process modules can be configured to be capable of adsorption (column 17, rows 46-47 and column 25, rows 36-39), annealing (column 25, rows 60-63) and/or cooling (column 19, rows 34-37) as needed. Davis et al. disclose that the number of process assemblies can be provided as needed. Two process assemblies (modules) capable of adsorption, annealing or cooling could be provided in the apparatus.

- 5. Davis et al. disclose the apparatus substantially as claimed and as described above.
- 6. However, Davis et al. fail to explicitly teach two stages (adsorption, annealing or cooling) in a single processing chamber.
- 7. Blum et al. teach providing tandem processing stages in a single processing chamber of a multichamber processing tool for the purpose of providing a tool capable of uniform wafer processing and high throughput (column 2, rows 25-32 and column 12, rows 12-22).
- 8. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the Applicant's invention was made to have provided a tandem processing stages in Davis et al. in order to provide a processing tool capable of both uniform wafer processing and high throughput as taught by Blum et al.
- 9. With respect to claim 3, Davis et al. disclose using a remote plasma generator (column 32, rows 19-21).
- 10. With respect to claims 4 and 8, the stages in the process module comprise lift pins (column 17, rows 56-59).
- 11. With respect to claims 6 and 16, heating means are provided heating wafers on anneal stages (column 43, rows 22-31).
- 12. With respect to claims 7 and 17, the annealing assembly may comprise heating wires and lamps (column 43, rows 22-31).
- 13. With respect to claim 10, the cooling assembly comprises cooling means for cooling the silicon wafers on cooling stages (column 19, rows 34-37).
- 14. With respect to claim 11, the cooling means comprises a gas supply pipe for supplying a cooling gas to the chamber or to the stage (column 19, rows 34-37).

Application/Control Number: 10/807,660 Page 4

Art Unit: 1763

15. With respect to claim 12-13, 15 and 18-20, Davis et al. disclose using a remote plasma generator (column 32, rows 19-21). Also disclosed are gas supply pipes for supplying a cooling gas to the chamber or to the stage (column 19, rows 34-37). Each of the other recitations is addressed above.

16. With respect to claim 14, Davis et al. disclose each of the chambers may have a first gas injection pipe (Figure 16, 250) and a second gas injection pipe (212).

Response to Arguments

- 17. Applicant's arguments filed 26 June 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 18. Examiner disagrees with Applicant that the lift pins of Davis are not for supporting a wafer on a processing stage/chuck. The pins are capable of supporting a wafer as claimed. See Figure 3. Also see column 15, rows 8-37.

Conclusion

19. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,660

Art Unit: 1763

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karla Moore whose telephone number is 571.272.1440. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 am-6:00 pm.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on 571.272.1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karla Moore Primary Examiner Art Unit 1763 15 September 2006